

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT NASHVILLE

ARTHUR SCHEID,

)

Plaintiff,

)

v.

)

DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

No. 3:11-cv-396

Defendant.

*Given the  
defendant's record  
of judicial  
proceedings,  
and the  
plaintiff's  
standard for pro  
se pleadings and the  
nature of the  
action, it is appropriate  
to DENY Plaintiff's motion  
for more definite statement.*

MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT  
AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT<sup>1</sup>

The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee (the "Defendant"), incorrectly identified as "Davidson County, Tennessee" in the caption of Plaintiff's Complaint, submits this motion for more definite statement, and memorandum in support, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e).

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

*See full L.A.P. 8(a).  
J.W.S.  
MSA/P  
6-21-11*

Plaintiff Arthur Scheid's Complaint reads, in its entirety, as follows:

On January 21, 2011, I went to court the judge released me and I went to court about five times after that and wasn't released until Feb. 18, 2011. Each time I went to court the judge released me. And each time they would not release me.

(Compl., Doc. No. 1, at 2). Plaintiff goes on to say that he wants compensation for the 24 days he should not have been in jail. Id. at 3.

<sup>1</sup> Due to the brief and straightforward nature of this motion, Defendant combines the motion and supporting memorandum for the Court's convenience.